This article was downloaded by: On: 23 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Coordination Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713455674

KINETICS AND MECHANISM OF DISSOCIATION OF SOME BIS-(N¹-SUBSTITUTED BIGUANIDE) COMPLEXES OF NICKEL(II) IN AQUEOUS ACID

R. N. Banerjee^a; S. Gangopadhyay^a; A. K. Banerjee^a; D. Banerjea^a ^a Department of Chemistry, Inorganic Chemistry Division, University College of Science, Calcutta, India

To cite this Article Banerjee, R. N., Gangopadhyay, S., Banerjee, A. K. and Banerjea, D.(1983) 'KINETICS AND MECHANISM OF DISSOCIATION OF SOME BIS-(N¹-SUBSTITUTED BIGUANIDE) COMPLEXES OF NICKEL(II) IN AQUEOUS ACID', Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 12: 4, 287 — 290 **To link to this Article: DOI:** 10.1080/00958978308073859

URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958978308073859

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

J. Coord. Chem., 1983, Vol. 12, pp. 287-290 0095-8972/83/1204-0287 \$18.50/0

KINETICS AND MECHANISM OF DISSOCIATION OF SOME BIS-(N¹-SUBSTITUTED BIGUANIDE) COMPLEXES OF NICKEL(II) IN AQUEOUS ACID

R.N. BANERJEE, S. GANGOPADHYAY, A.K. BANERJEE and D. BANERJEA[†]

Department of Chemistry, Inorganic Chemistry Division, University College of Science, 92 Acharya Prafulia Chandra Road, Calcutta 700009, India.

(Received September 1, 1982)

The rates of dissociation of bis-(N^1 -methylbiguanide)nickel(II) and bis-(N^1 -phenylbiguanide)nickel(II) in aqueous acid have been studies by the stopped-flow spectrophotmetric technique. The reactions occur in two consecutive steps, the first being faster than the second, ultimately forming the aquometal ion and the protonated ligand. For each step the rate is acid dependent as expressed by $k_x = k'_x[H^+]$, where k_x is the observed rate constant. The results are consistent with a mechanism involving an assisted dissociative process involving the protonated complexes with considerable solvent participation in the transition state. The observed lability order is Ni(PhbigH)₂¹ > Ni(MebigH)₂¹ > Ni(BigH)₂¹.

INTRODUCTION

Biguanide complexes of bi- and trivalent metal ions, while having exceptional thermodynamic stability,¹ dissociate fairly easily in acid media due to the availability of a favourable reaction path involving protonation of the ligand.²⁻⁶ Comparative studies have been made on the dissociation of a series of biguanide and N^1 -substituted biguanide complexes of trivalent metal ions in aqueous acid solution.²⁻⁴ Studies have also been extended to complexes of bivalent metal ions, *viz.*, the bis(biguanide)palladium(II)⁵ and bis(biguanide)nickel(II) complexes,⁶ and ethylenebisbiguanide complexes of nikel(II) and copper(II).⁶ Such studies on substituted biguanide complexes of bivalent metal ions appeared worthy of investigation in order to investigate the effect of substituents on theligands towards the labilities of the corresponding complexes. Results of such studies on the N^1 -methyl- and N^1 -phenylbiguanide complexes of nickel(II) are presented in this communication and compared with those of the biguanide complex reported earlier.⁶

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials and reagents

The complexes, Ni(CH₃C₂N₅H₆)₂Cl₂.4.5H₂O⁷ and Ni(C₆H₅C₂N₅H₆)₂Cl₂.2.5H₂O⁸ (where CH₃C₂N₅H₆ = N^1 -methylbiguanide and C₆H₅C₂N₅H₆ = N^1 -phenylbiguanide) were prepared by known methods and their purity was checked by elemental analysis. All other chemicals used were either of reagent grade or else purified by known methods. Solutions were prepared using doubly distilled water and stored in resistant glass vessels.

[†]Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed.

288 R.N. BANERJEE, S. GANGOPADHYAY, A.K. BANERJEE AND D. BANERJEA

Apparatus and Procedure

The spectra of freshly prepared aqueous solutions of these complexes were recorded using a VSU-2P (Carl-Zeiss) spectrophotometer. Both complexes have fairly broad absorption bands with the following characteristics which agreed reasonably with the values reported in literature.¹ Ni(CH₃C₂N₅H₆)²⁺: $\lambda_{max} = 465$ nm, $\epsilon_{max} = 55$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹; Ni(C₆H₅C₂N₅H₆)²⁺: $\lambda_{max} = 460$ nm, $\epsilon_{max} = 65$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹.

The rates of dissociation of the complexes (0.002M) in aqueous solution containing varying amounts of acid, HCl (0.02M to 0.04M) and KCl (to adjust the ionic strength to 0.1M) were studied spectrophotometrically using absorbance measurements at the λ_{max} of the complex (where the reaction products are virtually non-absorbing at the concentrations used) using a Canterbury SF-3A stopped-flow spectrophotometer, provided with an oscilloscope (OS 1000A) and a transient recorder (Datalab DL 901) system. The cell was thermostated in order to maintain the desired temperature (± 0.05°). From the observed changes in absorbance with time, the rate constants k_f and k_s were evaluated using known procedures for a two-step process.⁹ For all the experiments the most reproducible curves were analysed and the constants k_f and k_s were evaluated by the method of least-squares.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Detailed analysis by a known procedure⁹ of the absorbance-time changes for the reactions revealed that these complexes undergo dissociation in two consecutive steps; an initial faster reaction (k_f) is followed by a relatively slower one (k_g) . Both show first-order dependence on acid concentration. This can be interpreted by a mechanism proposed earlier⁶ in which the initial (faster) step involves protonation of the metal bound ligand³ in a fast equilibrium followed by bond rupture in the rate determining step leading to the formation of the monobiguanide complex and releasing one biguanidium ion. The second (slower) step is a repetition of the process in the first step, involving loss of the remaining ligand, and forming the aquometal ion as the final product. Indeed, the existence of such monbiguanide complexes, believed to be formed in the first step, have been established earlier in a few cases, ¹⁰ and this lends support to the proposed mechanism. Protonation of the bound biguanide ligand can be explained on the basis of the known structure^{11,12} of biguanide complexes and this process would facilitate the dissociation of the metal-ligand bond as discussed earlier.^{2-4,6}

Based on the mechanism proposed above, the observed pseudo-first-order rate constants for each step *i.e.*, k_f and k_s , will show acid dependence as shown in equation (1),

$$\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{x}}'[\mathbf{H}^{+}] \tag{1}$$

where x refers to "f" or "s", as the case may be.

From the specific rate constant values obtained at three different temperatures $(20, 25 \text{ and } 30^\circ)$ for each step, the corresponding activation parameters have been evaluated by making use of the Eyring equation¹³ (see Table 1).

For the three biguanide complexes, the relative rates of dissociation for either path, corresponding to k_f and k_s , are given in Table II (data at 25°). The results show that at any particular temperature the rates of dissociation of the different complexes for each of the steps decrease in the sequence Ni(PhbigH)₂²⁺ > Ni(MebigH)₂²⁺ > Ni(BigH)₂²⁺.

This may be explained on the basis of steric effects of the substituent in the biguanide. The increased strain due to a bulkier substituent causes a destabilisation of the chelate ring and consequently a higher rate of dissociation. This is in contrast to what has been observed² in the case of the biguanide complexes of trivalent metal ions such as Co(III)

NICKEL(II) BIGUANIDE KINETICS

	Temp. (°)	lonic strength ⁸	10 ⁻³ k _x /M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	
			k _a	kſ
Ni(MebigH) ³⁺	20	0.1	3.12 ± 0.09	4,44 ± 0.32
	25	0.1	3.84 ± 0.11	5.82 ± 0.15
	25	0.05	3.10 ± 0.20	4.00 ± 0.30
	30	0.1	4.98 ± 0.16	7.54 ± 0.21
	∆H [≠] /kJ mol	-1	32.3 ± 1.7	37.0 ± 0.4
ΔS [≠] /J K ⁻¹ mol ⁻¹		o] ^{_1}	-68.9 ± 5.5	-50.4 ± 0.8
Ni(PhbigH) ³⁺	20	0.1	7.14 ± 0.07	9.46 ± 0.30
	25	0.1	7.91 ± 0.16	10.80 ± 0.64
	25	0.05	6.23 ± 0.30	9.00 ± 0.20
	30	0.1	8.88 ± 0.32	11.98 ± 0.52
	∆H [≠] /kJ moi ^{−1}		13.9 ± 0.4	15.1 ± 0.8
	ΔS [≠] /J K ^{−1} π	wl ⁻¹	-125.6 ±1.7	-119.7 ± 2.5

TABLE I

^aHCl + KCl, in mol dm⁻¹.

TABLE II

Relative rates of dissociation at 25° of biguanide⁶ and N¹-substituted biguanide¹⁴ complexes of nickel(II).

	[Ni(PhbigH) ₂] ³⁺	[Ni(MebigH) ₂] ³⁺	[Ni(BigH) ₂] ²⁺
k _f path	1	0.49	0.29
k _s path	1	0.54	0.34

and Cr(III) where the rate of dissociation increases with an increase in basicity of the ligand according to the sequence biguanide > methylbiguanide > phenylbiguanide. This apparent paradox is possibly due to the fact that k'_x is a product of an equilibrium constant (K) for protonation of the complex and a rate constant (k) for the transformation of the protonated complex. For inert metal ions like Co(III) and Cr(III), K may reasonably by expected to be appreciably larger than k so that the former is in control of the overall rate constant (kK) and hence the rate will follow the sequence of increasing basicity of the ligand. However for a labile metal ion such as Ni(II) the reverse is obviously true; here k is likely to be appreciably larger than K and hence controls the overall rate.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The award of a Junior Research Fellowship by the University of Grants Commission, New Delhi, to one of us (S.G.) is thankfully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- 1. P. Ray, Chem. Rev., 61, 313 (1961).
- 2. D. Banerjea and B. Chakravarty, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 26, 1233 (1964).

290 R.N. BANERJEE, S. GANGOPADHYAY, A.K. BANERJEE AND D. BANERJEA

- D. Banerjea and S. Sengupta, Z. Anorg. Allgm. Chem., 397, 215 (1973).
 D. Banerjea and B. Chattopadhyay, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 36, 2351 (1974).
 D. Banerjea and P. Banerjee, Z. Anorg. Allgm. Chem., 393, 295 (1972).

- 6. D. Banerjea, Trans. Met. Chem., 7, 22 (1982).
- 7. B. Das Sarma and P. Ray, J. Ind. Chem. Soc., 33, 846 (1956).
- 8. P. Ray and K. Chakravarty, J. Ind. Chem. Soc., 18, 609 (1941).
- 9. R.N. Banerjee and D. Banerjea, Ind. J. Chem., 17A, 246 (1979).
- 10. See refs. 1 and 5.
- 11. D. Sen, J. Chem. Soc. A, 2900 (1969).
- 12. G. Schwarzenbach and D. Schwarzenbach, J. Ind. Chem. Soc., 54, 23 (1977).
- 13. S. Glasstone, K.J. Laidler and H. Eyring, The theory of rate processes, MacGraw-Hill, New York, 1941.
- 14. This work.